ON THE STABILITY OF THE SOLUTIONS OF A SYSTEM OF HOMOGENEOUS LINEAR DIFFERENTIAL EQUATIONS WITH PERIODIC (AND OTHER) COEFFICIENTS

## (OB USTOICHIVOSTI RESHENII SISTEMY LINEINYKH ODNORODNYKH differentsial' nykh Uraynenil s periodicheskimi <br> (I DRUGIMI) KOEFFITSIYENTAMI)

```
PMM Vol.23, No.5, 1959, pp. 818-825
            N.P. ERUGIN
            (Minsk)
(Received 10 Jane 1959)
```

This article presents an asymptotic method for the determination of the eigenvalues of certain systems of bomogeneous linear differential equations, and techniques for the determination of the exponential matrix. The radius of convergence is indicated for the series which represent the invariants of the exponential matrix.

1. Let the $n$-order matrix

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{V}(\lambda)=\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \lambda^{k} \mathrm{~V}_{k} \tag{1.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

be given, where $\lambda$ is a numerical variable, the matrices $V_{R}$ are independent of $\lambda$, and the series (1.1) converges in the region

$$
\begin{equation*}
|\lambda|<r \tag{1.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

We will assume

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{W}=\ln \mathrm{V}(\lambda)=\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \lambda^{k} \mathrm{~W}_{k} \tag{1.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

and that the series (1.3) converges in the reginn $|\lambda| \leqslant r_{1}<r$.
Theorem 1. If the eigenvalues of the matrix $V(\lambda)$ do not vanish in the region (1.2), then the invariants of the matrix $W$ have a series representation in integral powers of $\lambda$, converging in the region (1.2).

Proof. The eigenvalues $v_{1}(\lambda), \ldots, v_{n}(\lambda)$ of the matrix $V(\lambda)$ are determined from the equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
v^{n}+\bar{V}_{1}(\lambda) v^{n+1}+\cdots+\bar{V}_{n}(\lambda)=0 \tag{1.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\mathrm{V}_{k}(\lambda)(k=1, \ldots, n)$ are holomorphic functions of $\lambda$ in the region (1.2). It follows that in the region (1.2) the eigenvalues $v_{k}(\lambda)$ have only algebraic singularities, and that for any $\lambda_{0}$ from the region (1.2), the eigenvalues can be represented in the form of series in integral powers of $\left(\lambda-\lambda_{0}\right)$ or $\left(\lambda-\lambda_{0}\right)^{1 / k}$, where $k$ is a positive integer, with $k<n$. The eigenvalues $w_{1}, \ldots, w_{n}$ of the natrix $W$ are equal [1] to $\ln v_{1}(\lambda), \ldots, \ln v_{n}(\lambda)$ (we consider them as the principal values [2]).

The invariants $\sigma_{k}$ of the matrix $W$ are symmetric polynomials in $\ln v_{1}$, $\ldots, \ln v_{n}$ of order $k \sigma_{k}(\lambda)=\sigma_{k}\left(\ln v_{1}, \ldots, \ln v_{n}\right)$.

The albebraic singular points $\lambda_{0}$ of the functions $v_{1}, \ldots, v_{n}$ will not be singular points of $\sigma_{k}(\lambda)$, since in the neighborhood of the point $\lambda_{0}$, the functions $\sigma_{k}(\lambda)$ are single-valued by virtue of being symmetric functions of $\ln v_{1}, \ldots, \ln v_{n}$, and by virtue of $v_{k}(\lambda) \neq 0$ in the region (1.2). This proves the theorem.

Corollary*. If the series (1.1) is integral, then also the invariants of the matrix $W$ will be integral functions of $\lambda$ (with the condition $\left.v_{n}(\lambda) \neq 0\right)$.

In fact this Theorem was alrearly proved in article [3] and has been mentioned in article [4].
2. Let the system of linear differential equations

$$
\begin{equation*}
d X / d t=\mathrm{XP}(t) \tag{2.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

be given, where $\mathrm{P}^{\prime}(t)$ is a continuous periorlic matrix of order $n$ with periol $\omega=2 \pi$ in the region $t \geqslant 0$, and $X$ an integral matrix of order $n$. The matrix $X(t)$ normalized at the point $t=0$ can be represented in the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
X(t)=e^{A t} Z(t) \tag{2.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $A$ is a constant $n$-order matrix relative to $t$ and $Z(t)$ is periodic with period $\omega=2 \pi$.

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{Z}(0)=I, \quad 2 \pi \mathrm{~A}=\ln \mathrm{X}(2 \pi) \tag{2.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

[^0]We shall here take $\ln X(2 \pi)$ as the principal value. We will assume that among the characteristic roots of the matrix $X(2 \pi)$ there are negative roots [4]. Then $Z(t)$ will have period $4 \pi$ if we insist that $A$ be real. But in the last case $A$ is not determined [4] by the equality (2.3).
3. Let the linear system of differential equations

$$
\begin{equation*}
d X / d t=\mathrm{XP}(t, \lambda) \tag{3.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

be given, where the $n$-order matrix

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{P}(t, \lambda)=\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \mathrm{P}_{k}(t) \lambda^{k} \tag{3.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

the numerical parameter $\lambda$, and the matrices $\mathrm{P}_{k}(t)$ are continuous and periodic with period $2 \pi$. The series (3.2) converges in the region $|\lambda|<r$.

The integral matrix $X(t, \lambda)$ normalized at $t=0$, has, according to formula (2.2), the following representation:

$$
\begin{gather*}
\mathrm{X}(t, \lambda)=e^{\mathrm{A}(\lambda) t} \mathrm{Z}(t, \lambda), \quad \mathrm{Z}(0, \lambda)=I  \tag{3.3}\\
\mathrm{X}(t, \lambda)=\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \mathrm{X}_{k}(t) \lambda^{k} \tag{3.4}
\end{gather*}
$$

Here the series (3.4) converges in the region $|\lambda|<r$. As $\lambda \rightarrow 0$ we will have $X(t, \lambda) \rightarrow X_{0}(t)$ where

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{d \mathrm{X}_{0}(t)}{d t}=\mathrm{X}_{0}(t) \mathrm{P}_{0}(t) \tag{3.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

By formula (2.2)

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{X}_{0}(t)=e^{A_{0} t} \mathrm{Z}_{0}(t) \tag{3.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let the characteristic values of the matrix $X_{0}(2 \pi)$ be $x_{1}{ }^{0}, \ldots, x_{n}{ }^{0}$ and the characteristic values of the matrix $2 \pi A_{0}$ be the principal values $\ln x_{1}{ }^{0}, \ldots, \ln x_{n}{ }^{0}$ with none of the $x_{i}{ }^{0}$ negative.

When $x_{i}{ }^{0}=x_{k}{ }^{0}$, also $\ln x_{i}{ }^{0}=\ln x_{k}{ }^{0}$, and the matrix $2 \pi A_{0}=\ln X_{0}(2 \pi)$ will be real [4]. Then [4]

$$
\ln X(2 \pi, \lambda)=2 \pi \Lambda(\lambda) \rightarrow 2 \pi A_{0} \quad \text { for } \lambda \rightarrow 0
$$

and hence*

$$
\begin{equation*}
A(\lambda)=\frac{1}{2 \pi} \ln X(2 \pi, \lambda)=A_{0}+\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} A_{k} \lambda^{k} \tag{3.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

[^1]Here the series (3.7) converges in the region $|\lambda|<r_{1}<r$, but the invariants of the matrix $A(r)$ are, in accordance with Theorem 1, holomorphic in the region $|\lambda|<r$. Moreover $\mathrm{Z}(t+2 \pi, \lambda)=\mathrm{Z}(t, \lambda)$, and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{Z}(t, \lambda)=\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \mathrm{Z}_{k}(t) \lambda^{k} \tag{3.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

If some of the $x_{i}{ }^{0}$ are negative, we have

$$
2 \pi \mathrm{~A}_{0}=\mathrm{A}_{1}^{\circ} \dashv-\pi i \mathrm{~A}_{2}{ }^{\circ}=\ln \mathrm{X}_{0}(2 \pi)
$$

where $A_{2}{ }^{0}$ is a matrix cormuting with $A_{1}{ }^{0}$, which has a diagonal canonical form, such that the eigenvalues are equal to zero, if their position corresponds to the non-negative eigenvalues $x_{i}{ }^{0}$, and equal to one, if their position corresponds to the negative $x_{i}{ }^{0}$. The matrix $A_{1}{ }^{0}$ is real. In this case we can write [4]

$$
\begin{gather*}
\mathrm{X}_{0}(t)=\exp \left[\frac{1}{2 \pi} \ln \mathrm{X}_{0}(2 \pi)\right] Z_{0}(t)=\exp \left[\frac{1}{2 \pi} \mathrm{~A}_{1}{ }^{\circ} t\right] \mathrm{Z}_{1}(t) \\
Z_{1}(t)=\exp \left[\frac{i}{2} \mathrm{~A}_{2}{ }^{\circ} t\right] \mathrm{Z}_{0}(t)- \tag{3.9}
\end{gather*}
$$

Hence the matrix $Z_{1}(t)$ will have a period $4 \pi$. Here

$$
\begin{gathered}
\mathrm{X}(4 \pi, \lambda) \rightarrow X_{0}(4 \pi, 0) \quad \text { when } \lambda \rightarrow 0 \\
\frac{1}{4 \pi} \ln \mathrm{X}(4 \pi, \lambda) \rightarrow \frac{1}{4 \pi} \ln \mathrm{X}_{0}(4 \pi, 0)=\frac{1}{4 \pi} A_{1}^{\circ} \text { for } \lambda \rightarrow 0
\end{gathered}
$$

The expression $1 / 4 \pi \ln X(t, \lambda)$ can be represented in the form

$$
\frac{1}{4 \pi} \ln \mathrm{X}(t, \lambda)=\frac{1}{2 \pi} \mathrm{~A}_{1}{ }^{\circ}+\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \mathrm{A}_{k} \lambda^{k}=\mathrm{A}(\lambda)
$$

In all the cases, whenever in formula (3.3) the matrix $A(\lambda)$ has a series representation in powers of $\lambda$, the matrix $Z(t, \lambda)$ can also be represented in such a series. This can be seen from (3.3) where the free term of such a series will be $Z_{0}(t)$ or $Z_{1}(t)$ (from (3.9)).

A special case of the system (3.1) is the case when $P_{0}(t)=P_{0}$ is a constant matrix.

It follows that if the characteristic values $p_{l}{ }^{0}$ of the matrix $P_{0}$ are such that $p_{l}{ }^{0}-p_{k}{ }^{0} \neq i m$ ( $m$ integral), then we must take in formula (3.6)

$$
\mathrm{A}_{0}=\mathrm{P}_{0}, \quad \mathrm{Z}_{0}(t)=\mathrm{I}
$$

Now let some of the $p_{l}{ }^{0}$ have the form

$$
p_{l}{ }^{\circ}=\mu_{l}{ }^{\circ}+\frac{1}{2} m_{l} i, \quad\left|\operatorname{Im}\left(\mu_{l}-\mu_{k}\right)\right|<\frac{1}{2} \text { (m is an integer) }
$$

Then we can write

$$
2 \pi \mathrm{P}_{0}=\overline{\mathrm{A}}_{1}+\pi i \overline{\mathrm{~A}}_{2}, \quad \overline{\mathrm{~A}}_{1} \overline{\mathrm{~A}}_{2}=\overline{\mathrm{A}}_{2} \overline{\mathrm{~A}}_{1}, \quad\left|\operatorname{Im}\left(\bar{a}_{1 l}-\bar{a}_{1 k}\right)\right|<\pi
$$

and the characteristic values of the matrix $A_{2}$, which has a diagonal canonical form, will be zeros or integers; $\bar{\pi}_{1 k}-x-r$ of the matrix $\bar{A}_{1}$.

We can write

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{X}_{0}(t)=e^{\mathrm{P}_{0} t}=\exp \left(\frac{1}{2 \pi} \overline{\mathrm{~A}}_{1} t\right) \exp \left(\frac{1}{2} \overline{\mathrm{~A}}_{2} i t\right) \tag{3.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

and let in (3.6)

$$
A_{0}=\frac{1}{2 \pi} \bar{A}_{1}, Z_{0}(t)=\exp \left(\frac{1}{2} \bar{A}_{2} i t\right)
$$

Now we will have

$$
\begin{gathered}
\mathrm{X}(2 \pi, \lambda) \rightarrow \mathrm{X}_{0}(2 \pi, 0), \quad \frac{1}{2 \pi} \ln \mathrm{X}(2 \pi, i) \rightarrow \mathrm{A}_{0}=\frac{1}{2 \pi} \overline{\mathrm{~A}}_{1} \\
Z(t, \lambda) \rightarrow z_{0}(t)=\exp \left(\frac{1}{2} A_{2} i t\right)
\end{gathered}
$$

as $\lambda \rightarrow 0$ also (3.7), (3. P) hold if $m_{l}$ are even.
If among the characteristic values $p_{l}{ }^{0}=p_{l}{ }^{0}+(m / 2) i$ of the matrix $P_{0}$ there are such values that $m$ is odd, then $Z_{0}(t)=\exp 1 / 3 A_{2}$ it will be the period $4 \pi$. Then also $Z(\lambda, t)$ has period $4 \pi$. Thus we will have (3.3), where

$$
\mathrm{A}(\lambda)=\frac{1}{2 \pi} \overline{\mathrm{~A}}_{1}+\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \mathrm{A}_{k} \lambda^{k}, \quad \mathrm{Z}(t, \lambda)=\exp \left(\frac{1}{2} \overline{\mathrm{~A}}_{2} i t\right)+\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \mathrm{Z}_{k}(t) \lambda^{k}
$$

A method for the determination of the coefficients of the expansion (3.8) is given* in article [4].

We may proceed somewhat differently [5]. In particular, supposing $P_{0}(t)=P_{0}$ to be a constant matrix, we can replace the integral matrix $X$ by the matrix $Y$, using** the equality

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{X}=\mathrm{Y} \exp \left(\frac{1}{2} \overline{\mathbf{A}}_{2} i t\right) \tag{3.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

Substituting in (3.2), we shall obtain an equation for the determination of

$$
\frac{d \mathrm{Y}}{d t}=\mathrm{Y}\left[\mathrm{P}_{0}+\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \mathrm{P}_{k}(t) \lambda^{k}-\frac{i}{2} \overline{\mathrm{~A}}_{2}\right]=\mathrm{Y}\left[\frac{1}{2 \pi} \overline{\mathrm{~A}}_{1}+\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \mathrm{P}_{k}(t) \dot{\lambda}^{k}\right]
$$

Now we shall find

$$
\mathrm{Y}=\exp \left(\frac{1}{2 \pi} \overline{\mathrm{~A}}_{1}+\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \mathrm{A}_{k} \lambda^{k}\right) t\left(\mathrm{I}+\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \overline{\mathrm{Y}}_{k}(t) \lambda^{k}\right)
$$

* This method has been formulated in article [3].
** See article [3] p. 10.
and consequently,

$$
\mathrm{X}=\exp \left(\frac{1}{2 \pi} \overline{\mathrm{~A}}_{1}+\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \mathrm{A}_{k} \hat{\lambda}^{k}\right) t\left(\exp \frac{1}{2} \overline{\mathrm{~A}}_{2} i t+\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \mathrm{Y}_{k}(t) \lambda^{k}\right)
$$

In other words, the operation of replacement of the variable in (3.11), in the case of the constant matrix $P_{0}$, is equivalent to the foregoing operations, where we set

$$
A_{0}=\frac{1}{2 \pi} \bar{A}_{1}, \quad Z_{0}=\exp \frac{1}{2} \bar{A}_{2} i t
$$

It must be noted, however, that in the case of the system (3.1) (regardless of whether $P_{0}(t)$ is constant or not) the method for the determination of the coefficients of the expansions (3.7) and (3.8) is very unwielily. We offer, in the following Sections, another methor for special cases.
4. Let us consider a system (3.1) in which $P_{0}(t), \ldots, P_{m}(t)$ are constant matrices. We shall further assume that the matrix $P_{0}$ does not have* characteristic values $p_{k}, p_{l}$ such that $p_{k}-p_{l}=m i \quad$ ( $m$ integral).

We shall seek a solution of the system (3.1) in the form (3.3), where $\mathrm{A}(\lambda)$ and $Z(t, \lambda)$ have the forms (3.7) and (3.8) respectively. Moreover, $\mathrm{A}_{0}=\mathrm{P}_{0}$ and $Z_{0}=1$.

We have, for the determination of $7_{k}$ and $A_{k}$, the equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{d Z_{k}}{d t}=\sum_{l=0}^{k} Z_{k-l} P_{l}-\sum_{l=0}^{k} \mathrm{~A}_{k-l} Z_{l} \tag{4.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

We must look for periodic $Z_{k}(t)$ and $Z_{k}(0)=0$. We see from (4.1)

$$
\mathrm{Z}_{k}=0, \quad \mathrm{~A}_{k}=\mathrm{P}_{k} \quad(k=1, \ldots, m)
$$

Thus we have

$$
\begin{gather*}
\mathrm{A}(\lambda)=\mathrm{P}_{0}+\mathrm{P}_{1} \lambda+\cdots+\mathrm{P}_{m} \lambda^{m}+\mathrm{A}_{m+1} \lambda^{m+1}+\cdots  \tag{4.2}\\
\mathrm{Z}(t, \lambda)=1+\sum_{k=m+1}^{\infty} \mathrm{Z}_{k}(t) \lambda^{k} \tag{4.3}
\end{gather*}
$$

We may set approximately

$$
\begin{equation*}
A(\lambda) \sim P_{0}+P_{1} \lambda+\cdots+P_{m} \lambda^{m} \tag{4.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

In finding the characteristic values of the matrix $A(\lambda)$ by means of the equality (4.4), we can use, by Theorem 1 , the convergence of the series (3.2) in the region $|\lambda|<r$.

We may also proceed as follows: Writing the system (3.1) in the form

[^2]\[

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{d x}{d t}=x\left[P(\lambda)+P_{1}(t, \lambda)\right] \tag{4.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

\]

where

$$
\mathrm{P}(\lambda)=\sum_{k=0}^{n} \mathrm{P}_{k} \lambda^{k}, \quad \mathrm{P}_{1}(t, \lambda)=\sum_{k=m+1}^{\infty} \mathrm{P}_{k}(t) \lambda^{k}
$$

We shall consider the auxiliary system

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{d x}{d t}=\mathrm{X}\left[\mathrm{P}(\lambda)+\mathrm{P}_{1}(t, \lambda)\right] \varepsilon \quad(\epsilon \text { is a parameter }) \tag{4.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

We shall now seek a solution of the system (4.6) in the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{X}=\exp \mathrm{A}(\varepsilon) t \cdot \mathrm{Z}(t, \varepsilon) \tag{4.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{A}(\varepsilon)=\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \mathrm{A}_{k} \varepsilon^{k}, \quad \mathrm{Z}(t, \varepsilon)=\mathrm{I}+\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \mathrm{Z}_{k}(t) \varepsilon^{k} \tag{4.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

In this form the solution always exists [3,4] for $\epsilon$ sufficiently small.

We have for the determination of $Z_{k}$ and $A_{k}$ the equation

$$
\begin{gather*}
\frac{d \mathrm{Z}_{k}}{d t}=\mathrm{Z}_{k-1}\left[\mathrm{P}(\lambda)+\mathrm{P}_{1}(t, \lambda)\right]-\mathrm{A}_{k}-\sum_{l=1}^{k-1} \mathrm{~A}_{l} \mathrm{Z}_{k-l}  \tag{4.9}\\
\frac{d \mathrm{Z}_{1}}{d t}=\mathrm{P}(\lambda)+\mathrm{P}_{1}(t, \lambda)-\mathrm{A}_{1} \tag{4.10}
\end{gather*}
$$

Hence

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{A}_{1}=\frac{1}{2 \pi} \int_{0}^{2 \pi} \mathrm{P}_{1}(t, \lambda) d t+\mathrm{P}(\lambda), \quad \mathrm{Z}_{1}=\int_{0}^{t} \mathrm{P}_{1}(t, \lambda) d t-\frac{1}{2 \pi} t \int_{0}^{\vdots} \mathrm{P}_{1}(t, \lambda) d t \tag{4.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

In finding the characteristic values of the matrix ${ }^{1} A(\epsilon)$ we can put $\epsilon=1$, since the invariants of the matrix $A(\epsilon)$ are, by Theorem 1 , integral functions of $\epsilon$. Moreover we see from (4.9) and (4.11) that $Z_{1}(t)$, $Z_{2}(t) \ldots$, as well as $A_{2}, A_{3} \ldots$ are small, of order $m+1$ relative to $\lambda$.

Therefore to within magnitudes of order $m+1$, the characteristic values of the matrix $A(1)$ of the system of differential equations (3.1) can be sought, in this case, by setting

$$
\begin{equation*}
A=P(\lambda) \tag{4.12}
\end{equation*}
$$

We have obtained the previous result; here however we may consider $P_{1}(t, \lambda)$ only as small, of order $m+l$, without presupposing analyticity with respect to $\lambda$.
5. Let us again consiler the system (3.1), this time assuming $P_{0}(t)=$ $P_{0}$ to be constant with the property $p_{k}-p_{l} \neq m i$. In this case the solution
$X(t)$ can be represented in the form (3.3) where $A(\lambda)$ and $Z(t, \lambda)$ have the series representation (3.7) and (3.8). This solution, however, can be written somewhat differently. We shall apply the abridged Krylov-Bogoliubov transformation method, which was used by Shtokalo [8] and also by the author [9]. First we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{X}=\zeta\left[\mathrm{I}+\sum_{k=1}^{m} \varepsilon^{k} \mathrm{Z}_{k}(t)\right], \quad \frac{d \zeta}{d t}=\zeta\left[\sum_{k=0}^{m} \mathrm{~A}_{k} \lambda^{k}+\lambda^{m+1} \mathrm{R}_{m}(\lambda, t)\right] \tag{5.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

and then, in accordance with Section 4

$$
\begin{equation*}
\zeta=\exp \left(\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \mathrm{A}_{k} \lambda^{k} t\right) \mathrm{Z}(t, \lambda), \quad \mathrm{Z}(t, \lambda)=\mathrm{I}+\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \mathrm{Z}_{k}(t) \lambda^{k} \tag{5.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $A_{0}=P_{0}$, and $A_{k}, Z_{k}(k=1, \ldots, m)$ are determined from equations (4.1)

Thus

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{X}=\exp \left(\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \mathrm{A}_{k} \lambda^{k} t\right)\left[\mathrm{I}+\sum_{k=m+1}^{\infty} Z_{k}(t) \lambda^{k}\right]\left[\mathrm{I}+\sum_{k=1}^{m} Z_{k}(t)\right] \tag{5.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

If the condition $p_{k}-p_{l} \neq m i$ is not satisfied, then, as was shown in the work of Shtokalo [ $R$ ], the characteristic values of the matrix $A_{0}$ in the second equation of (5.1) will satisfy such a condition, while in the first equation we shall have, instead of the matrix $I, B \exp V i t$, where $B$ is a constant matrix and $V$ a diagonal matrix whose elements are integers.

In article [8], Shtokalo considers a system of the form

$$
\frac{d \mathrm{X}}{d t}=\mathrm{X}[\mathrm{~A}+\varepsilon f(t)] \quad\left(\mathrm{F}(t)=\sum_{\mu} \mathrm{C}_{\mu} e^{i \mu t}\right)
$$

where $\mathrm{F}(t)$ is a finite sum. But clearly nothing is changerl in the method if we consider a system of the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{d x}{d t}=\mathrm{XP}(t, \varepsilon) \quad\left(\mathrm{P}(t, \varepsilon)=\mathrm{A}+\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} P_{k}(t) \varepsilon^{k}\right) \tag{5.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $P_{k}(t)(k=1, \ldots, m)$ are either matrices of the form $F(t)$ or periodic.

We must only make a reduction to the case $a_{k}-a_{l} \neq m i$ (where $a_{k}$ are the characteristic values of the matrix $A$ and $m$ is integral) and find $A_{k}, Z_{k}$ by the method of Section 8 , article [ 4 [, or by the method of article [8].

We may assume $P_{k}(t)$ to be uniformly quasiperiodic functions [10] with exponents* $\Lambda_{l}^{(k)} \underset{\rightarrow \infty}{ }$ as $l \rightarrow \infty$ and also with certain other exponents. The

* For simplicity we assume the totality $\left\{\Delta_{l}{ }^{(k)}\right\}_{l=1}^{\infty}$ of the exponents of the matrices $P_{k}(t)$ to be independent of $k$.
matrices $A_{k}$ and $Z_{k}(k=1, \ldots, m)$ can be found in many cases. (See for example article [3]. But since Theorem 1 does not hold in these cases, the stahility problem of the solutions must be investigated by Shtokalo's method, i.e. by the construction of Liapunov's function. Consequently, the matrices

$$
\sum_{k=0}^{n} \Lambda_{i} \lambda^{k}
$$

cannot be considered* as approximate values of the exponents.
If $P_{0}(t)$ is not a constant matrix, then we can use the abridged transformation

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{X}=\zeta\left[\mathrm{Z}_{0}(t)+\sum_{k=1}^{m} \mathrm{Z}_{k}(t) \lambda^{k}\right], \quad \frac{d \zeta}{d t}=\zeta\left[\sum_{k=0}^{m} \mathrm{~A}_{k} \lambda^{k}+\lambda^{m+1} \mathrm{R}_{m}(\lambda, t)\right] \tag{5.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $Z_{0}(t), A_{0}$ are determined from equations (3.5) and (3.6) and $A_{k}$, $Z_{k}(t)(k=1, \ldots, m)$ are found from the equations (4.1):

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{d Z_{k}}{d t}=Z_{k} \mathrm{P}_{0}-\mathrm{A}_{0} Z_{k}+\sum_{l=2}^{k} \mathrm{Z}_{k-l} \mathrm{P}_{k}-\sum_{l=0}^{k-1} \mathrm{~A}_{k-1} \mathrm{Z}_{l} \tag{5.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

with $Z_{k}(t)$ subjected to the periodicity condition, and $Z_{k}(0)=0$.
Section 8 of article [2] gives formulas for the determination of $Z_{k}(t)$ and $A_{k}[(8.45),(8.46)]$. Next, if $R_{m}(\lambda, t)$ is a periodic matrix, $\zeta$ can be sought in (5.5) by the method of Section 4 of this article. If in (3.6) the characteristic values $A_{0}$ are pure imaginaries and simple, we may proceed in a different manner.

Replacing

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{X}=\mathrm{YX}_{0} \tag{5.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{d \mathrm{Y}}{d t}=\mathrm{Y}\left[\mathrm{X}_{0} \mathrm{PX}_{0}{ }^{-1}-\mathrm{X}_{0} \mathrm{P}_{0} \mathrm{X}_{0}^{-1}\right]=\mathrm{Y}\left[\mathrm{X}_{0} \mathrm{P}_{1}(t, \lambda) \mathrm{X}_{0}{ }^{-1}\right] \tag{5.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\mathrm{P}_{1}(t, \lambda)=\mathrm{P}-\mathrm{P}_{0}=\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \mathrm{P}_{k}(t) \lambda^{k}
$$

Substituting in (5.8) the expression $X_{0}$ from (3.6) we will find

[^3]\[

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{d \mathrm{Y}}{d t}=\mathrm{Y}\left[e^{\mathrm{A}_{0}} \mathrm{Z}_{0}(t) \mathrm{P}_{1}(t, \lambda) \mathrm{Z}_{0}^{-1}(t) e^{-\mathrm{A}_{0} t}\right]=\mathrm{YQ}(t, \lambda) \tag{5.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

\]

Here the coefficient matrix $\cap(t, \lambda)$ has the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{Q}(t, \lambda)=\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \mathrm{Q}_{k}(t) \lambda^{k} \tag{5.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

where the elements of the matrices $?_{k}(t)$ will be periodic if the matrix $\exp \left(A_{0} t\right)$ is periodic, and of such period as $P_{k}(t)$, or if it has the form $p(t) \exp$ (ait), with the function $p(t)$ periodic and a real (if $A_{0}$ has simple elementary divisors). Hence we can apply to the system (5.9) Shtokalov's method [8]. Here we shall get for the determination of $A_{k}$ equations (4.10), where we must put $P_{0}=A_{0}=0$. Hence the matrices $Z_{k}^{k}$ and $A_{k}$ will be easily determined (since $P_{0}=A_{0}=0$, see (5.6)) from the condition of boundedness for $7_{k}(t)$ (since the function $p(t) \exp$ (iat) has a mean value [ 10 ] or from the periodicity of $Z_{k}(t)$, provided the $\eta_{k}(t)$ are periodic. If in (5.10) the matrices $\eta_{k}(t)$ turn out to be periodic, then we may take for approximate values of the exponents of the matrix $Y$ the eigenvalues of the matrix

$$
\mathrm{A}_{1} \lambda+\mathrm{A}_{2} \lambda^{2}+\ldots+\mathrm{A}_{m} \lambda^{m}
$$

We will note in conclusion that if the matrices $P_{k}(t)$ are periorlic with a single period $2 \pi$, then by (3.4) we can find the matrix (3.7) directly (without finding $Z_{k}(t)$ ), using the formula

$$
A(\lambda)=\frac{1}{2 \pi} \ln X(2 \pi, i .)
$$

Here we take always the principal value of the logarithm and use the formulas (1.31), (1.32) of article [2], or the corresponding more genera] formula for $n$-order matrices of article [12]. This will absolve us from examining the special cases when the values of $\ln X_{0}(2 \pi)$ are regular or irregular.
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[^0]:    * Clearly the theorem and the corollary hold for any function $Y=f(V(\lambda))$, where $f(z)$ is analytic in the region (1.2) and where the eigenvalues of the matrix $V(\lambda)$ do not take on singular values of the function $f(x)$.

[^1]:    * We will note that in article [5] the discussion centers about the expansion of the canonical form $A(\lambda)$; in article[6] Lemmas 1.1 and 1.2, Theorem 2.2, and the formula for $Y^{n}(r, \epsilon)$ ( $p .30$ ) repeat the known results.

[^2]:    * We can always make such a reduction, as we have just seen.

[^3]:    * Provided we are not working with a system for which the stability of the eigenvalues is valid. (In this connection see the works of B.F. Bylov, I.G. Malkin, R.E. Vinogradov, Iu, S. Bogdanov).

